

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wopto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/826,537	04/15/2004	Kwok Wai Cheung	IPVBP004	2147
34071 7590 03/23/2009 IPVENTURE, INC. 5150 EL CAMINO REAL			EXAMINER	
			BLAIR, KILE O	
SUITE A-22 LOS ALTOS,	CA 94022		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			2614	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/23/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/826.537 CHEUNG ET AL. Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit Kile O. Blair 2614 All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) Kile O. Blair. (2) Peter Tong. (4)____. Date of Interview: 11 March 2009. Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) Personal (copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description: _____. Claim(s) discussed: n/a. Identification of prior art discussed: Pompei (US Pub. No. 2001/0007591 A1). Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The applicant suggested an amendment to the claims to specify selecting a frequency of the carrier from a set of different frequencies in order to increase the width of the beam as the carrier frequency increases. The examiner said that it appeared that the feature would not be patentably distinct from the prior art however the examiner did not fully consider and search the proposed amendment. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Vivian Chin/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2614